

California Case Law

◆ *People v. Panah*, 35 Cal. 4th 395, 107 P.3d 790 (2005): Warrantless searches of defendant's home and vehicle were justified by exigent circumstances, where the child had been missing for several hours, the only lead police had was that the victim had been seen talking to a male occupant of defendant's apartment, and a neighbor told police that a young male lived in defendant's apartment.

◆ *People v. Magpuso*, 23 Cal. App. 4th 112, 28 Cal. Rptr. 2d 206 (1994): Defendant could not rely on defense of reasonable mistake as to kidnap victim's age because such mistake did not preclude a finding of the general criminal intent required for a kidnapping conviction.

[Return to AMBER Alert Legal Database](#)